

## 166 - International Patient Decision Aid Standards (IPDAS) Collaboration: Special interest group meeting on Update 2.0 working groups

Dawn Stacey<sup>1,2</sup>, Robert J. Volk<sup>3</sup>, and the IPDAS Working Group Leads<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, Ontario, Canada,

<sup>3</sup>University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Texas, USA, <sup>4</sup>IPDAS <http://ipdas.ohri.ca>

Established in 2003, the International Patient Decision Aid Standards (IPDAS) Collaboration aims to enhance the quality and effectiveness of patient decision aids (PtDAs) by establishing a shared evidence-informed framework for improving their content, development, implementation, and evaluation.

The IPDAS collaboration resources include:

1) the PtDA checklist with 74 criteria for developing and evaluating PtDAs, produced using a modified Delphi consensus process with a range of stakeholders who were informed with theoretical and empirical evidence (Elwyn, 2006). The IPDAS instrument (IPDASi) has only 47 items rated on a four-point scale (strongly agree to strongly disagree). It was validated and showed adequate inter-rater reliability (Elwyn, 2009). A minimal set of essential criteria for defining (6 criteria) and certifying PtDAs (10 criteria), and evaluating the quality of PtDAs (28 criteria) have also been developed using a modified Delphi consensus process (Joseph-Williams, 2013).

2) the SUNDAE Checklist provides researchers with reporting standards and guidance for PtDA evaluations (Sepucha, 2017).

3) definitions, theoretical justifications, and evidence synthesis underpinning the IPDAS criteria were updated and published as chapters on [ipdas.ohri.ca](http://ipdas.ohri.ca) (2005), then published as a series of peer reviewed papers in BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making (2013), and a systematic review of studies evaluating implementation of PtDAs into clinical practice (Elwyn, 2014).

In 2018, the IPDAS Collaboration launched the Update 2.0 of the evidence underlying the IPDAS criteria. Working groups were established for Systematic Development Process; Providing balanced information, Presenting probabilities, Clarifying Values, Personal Stories, Guidance/coaching, Disclosing Conflicts, Health Literacy, Evidence-informed, Effectiveness, and Implementation.

The overall aim of this special interest group meeting is for individual working groups to discuss the update on their activities and next steps.

Special Interest Group Meeting Agenda:

Working Group Leads: RVolk (development), KSteffensen & RMartin (providing balanced information), LTrevena & BZikmund-Fisher (presenting probabilities), HWitteman (clarifying values), HBekker & VShaffer (personal stories), DStacey & SKopke & JJull (guidance/coaching), RThompson (disclosing conflicts), KMcCaffery & MADurand & DMuscat (health literacy), THoffman & JPablo Brito (evidence-informed), RThomson & KSepucha (effectiveness), TvanderWeijden (implementation)